Darlington High

525 Spring Street
Darlington, South Carolina 29532

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 1,163 Students

Principal Pearl Jeffords 843–398–2730

Superintendent Dr. Rainey Knight 843–398–5200

Board Chair Mr. Warren Jeffords 843–326–5970

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL

2006 R

REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

2 4 8 8 5

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 9 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org **Darlington High** 10/30/06 1601030

| PERFO | PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress | | | | | | |
| 2003 | Below Average | Below Average | No | | | | | | |
| 2004 | Below Average | Excellent | No | | | | | | |
| 2005 | Below Average | Below Average | No | | | | | | |
| 2006 | Unsatisfactory | Unsatisfactory | No | | | | | | |

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

| HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------------|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| | | Our School | gh Schools with udents Like Ours | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | | | |
| Passed 2 subtests | 57.5 | 59.5 | 60.6 | 69.4 | 62.7 | 66.4 | | | |
| Passed 1 subtest | 20.6 | 13.6 | 20.1 | 15.8 | 17.8 | 15.3 | | | |
| Passed no subtests | 21.9 | 26.9 | 19.3 | 19.7 | 19.5 | 18.3 | | | |

| HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006 | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|---|
| | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Percent | 80.5% | 89.2% |

| ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP | | |
|---|------------|---|
| Percent of | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions* | 2.0 | 4.5 |
| Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement | 2.0 | 4.8 |
| Seniors who met the grade point average | 30.1 | 37.5 |

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

| GRADUATION RATE | | |
|--------------------|------------|---|
| | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Number of Students | 269 | 173 |
| Number of Diplomas | 144 | 120 |
| Rate | 53.5% | 72.4% |

Darlington High 10/30/06 1601030

| END OF COURSE TESTS | | |
|---|------------|---|
| Percent of students scoring 70 or above on: | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2 | 81.8 | 73.4 |
| English 1 | 52.4 | 57.3 |
| Biology 1/Applied Biology 2 | 35.2 | 45.6 |
| Physical Science | 31.7 | 32.1 |
| All Subjects | 53.1 | 51.6 |

| PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|------|-----------------|-------|------------------------|--|
| | HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006 | | Eligibility Schola | | Graduation Rate | | | |
| | n | % | n % | | n | % | Met State Objective | |
| All Students | 246 | 80.5 | 153 | 2.0 | 144 | 53.5 | No | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 120 | 72.5 | 85 | 0.0 | 150 | 43.3 | N/A | |
| Female | 124 | 89.5 | 68 | 4.4 | 119 | 66.4 | N/A | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | |
| White | 76 | 88.2 | 52 | 5.8 | 81 | 59.3 | N/A | |
| African American | 164 | 77.4 | 95 | 0.0 | 182 | 49.5 | N/A | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Hispanic | 4 | 100.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 4 | 100.0 | N/A | |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | |
| Non disabled | 193 | 89.1 | 134 | 2.2 | 213 | 57.3 | N/A | |
| Disabilities other than speech | 53 | 49.1 | 19 | 0.0 | 56 | 39.3 | N/A | |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Non-migrant | 246 | 80.5 | 153 | 2.0 | 269 | 53.5 | N/A | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 246 | 80.5 | 153 | 2.0 | 269 | 53.5 | N/A | |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 138 | 76.8 | 159 | 50.3 | 159 | 50.3 | N/A | |
| Full-pay meals | 108 | 85.2 | 66 | 4.5 | 110 | 58.2 | N/A | |

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

10/30/06 1601030

| | | | | | | G | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | Enrollment 1st | % Tested | % Below Basic | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | % Proficient and Advanced in | Performance Objective | Participation Objective As |
|--|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| All Students | glish/Lanç | guage Art | | | | | 3% | | . V |
| Gender | 275 | 96.0 | 26.7 | 51.6 | 19.0 | 2.7 | 30.2 | No | Yes |
| Gender Male | 139 | 93.5 | 26.8 | 53.5 | 18.9 | 0.8 | 31.5 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 136 | 98.5 | 26.7 | 49.6 | 19.1 | 4.6 | 29.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | 100 | 00.0 | 20.1 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 20.0 | 14/71 | 14/71 |
| White | 85 | 98.8 | 8.6 | 50.6 | 37.0 | 3.7 | 50.6 | Yes | Yes |
| African American | 185 | 94.6 | 34.3 | 52.3 | 11.0 | 2.3 | 20.9 | No | No |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 4 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Not Disabled | 216 | 98.1 | 17.9 | 56.5 | 22.2 | 3.4 | 34.3 | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 59 | 88.1 | 62.7 | 31.4 | 5.9 | N/A | 13.7 | No | No |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 275 | 96.0 | 26.7 | 51.6 | 19.0 | 2.7 | 30.2 | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency | | L/C | L/C | L/C | L/C | L/C | L/C | L/C | L/C |
| Limited English Proficient | 3 | I/S 96.0 | 1/S | I/S | 1/8 | I/S | 1/S | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status | 272 | 90.0 | 26.7 | 51.4 | 19.2 | 2.7 | 30.2 | N/A | N/A |
| Subsidized meals | 189 | 95.8 | 32.8 | 49.7 | 15.3 | 2.3 | 27.1 | No | Yes |
| Full-pay meals | 86 | 96.5 | 13.6 | 55.6 | 27.2 | 3.7 | 37.0 | N/A | N/A |

| M | lathematic | cs - State | Performa | ance Obje | ctive = 50 | 0.0% | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|------|------|-----|-----|
| All Students | 275 | 94.5 | 24.4 | 42.1 | 26.4 | 7.1 | 53.1 | Yes | No |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 139 | 92.1 | 24.0 | 41.6 | 26.4 | 8.0 | 55.2 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 136 | 97.1 | 24.8 | 42.6 | 26.4 | 6.2 | 51.2 | N/A | N/A |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 85 | 97.6 | 12.5 | 38.8 | 36.3 | 12.5 | 71.3 | Yes | Yes |
| African American | 185 | 93.0 | 29.6 | 43.8 | 21.9 | 4.7 | 45.0 | No | No |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 4 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Not Disabled | 216 | 96.8 | 15.2 | 45.6 | 30.4 | 8.8 | 62.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 59 | 86.4 | 62.0 | 28.0 | 10.0 | N/A | 14.0 | Yes | No |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 275 | 94.5 | 24.4 | 42.1 | 26.4 | 7.1 | 53.1 | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | 3 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 272 | 94.5 | 24.3 | 42.2 | 26.3 | 7.2 | 53.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 189 | 94.2 | 27.0 | 42.0 | 24.7 | 6.3 | 50.0 | Yes | No |
| Full-pay meals | 86 | 95.3 | 18.8 | 42.5 | 30.0 | 8.8 | 60.0 | N/A | N/A |

Darlington High 10/30/06 1601030

| SCHOOL PROFILE | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| 0. 1. (- 4.400) | Our School | Change from Last Year | High Schools with Students Like Ours | Median High School |
| Students (n= 1,163) | | | | |
| Retention rate | 12.3% | | 8.9% | 7.0% |
| Attendance rate | | Down from 94.3% | 95.2% | 95.5% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 5.1% | | 6.1% | 7.9% |
| With disabilities other than speech | | Down from 23.9% | 14.4% | 12.3% |
| Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 14.4% 1.2% | | 12.5% 1.8% | 9.5% 1.2% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 3.8% | Up from 2.4% | 5.9% | 11.2% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship* | 2.0% | | 5.0% | 10.2% |
| Annual dropout rate | 1.5% | Down from 5.5% | 3.2% | 2.8% |
| Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations | 3.7% | ' | 5.6% | 3.5% |
| Enrollment in career/technology center courses | 685 | Down from 739 | 394 | 448 |
| Students participating in worked-based experiences | 6.2% | ' | 19.6% | 24.2% |
| Career/technology students mastering core competencies | 78.7% | Up from 70.9% | 80.0% | 80.0% |
| Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem | | Down from 98.7% | 97.3% | 99.1% |
| Teachers (n= 96) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 41.7% | Up from 37.9% | 50.0% | 55.5% |
| Continuing contract teachers | N/AV | | N/AV | N/AV |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 11.6% | | 13.5% | 9.6% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | | Up from 13.2% | 13.3% | 9.9% |
| Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate | | Down from 84.4% Down from 95.4% | 82.2% 95.2% | 86.3% 95.3% |
| Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher | , , . | Up 0.3% Up from 7.5 days | \$42,357 9.5 days | \$42,943 11.2 days |
| School | 3.0.3.0,0 | O P | 1 333 | |
| Principal's years at school | 1.0 | Up from 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | | Down from 27.0 to 1 | 24.8 to 1 | 25.7 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | | Down from 88.5% | 88.6% | 89.3% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | | Up 0.5% | \$7,363 | \$6,792 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | | Down from 62.1% | 54.4% | 55.3% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction* | 64.0% | | 61.0% | 61.1% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | No change | Good | Excellent |
| Parents attending conferences | | Up from 83.8% | 93.3% | 92.8% |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Character development | | Up from Below Average | Average | Good |
| * Prior year audited financial data are reported. | | | | |

| | | Our District | State |
|---|-----|---------------|---------------------|
| Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | | 0.0% | 6.2% |
| Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | | 8.6% | 10.2% |
| | Sta | ate Objective | Met State Objective |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school | | 0.0% | No |
| Student attendance in this school | | 94.0%* | Yes |

Darlington High 10/30/06 1601030

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

At Darlington High School we are truly In Pursuit Of Educational Excellence. academic program of studies at Darlington High School is designed to meet the needs of each student who enters our doors. Our academic offerings include Advanced Placement, Early College Credit, Honors courses and Credit Recovery. We are extremely excited about our newly renovated science labs. These labs will enable our students to receive state-of-the-art science instruction. In light of all these opportunities for academic excellence, Darlington High School is the school for the college-bound student to excel. As a High School that Works, our school strives to meld academic and technical studies while ensuring that every course is challenging and engaging. Students benefit from integrated long and short term projects. Students also participate in literacy across the curriculum. Every student and teacher shares a variety of reading selections throughout the school year and during the summer. Without a doubt, Darlington High School is on the cutting edge of outstanding educational practices. Students are given numerous opportunities to pursue their interests and nurture special talents while completing their academic courses of study. Here at Darlington High School we are creating opportunities for every student to excel.

Pearl Jeffords, Principal Shannon Waldron, School Improvement Council Chairperson

| EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* |
| Number of surveys returned | 92 | 163 | 107 |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 77.2% | 54.6% | 63.8% |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 75.8% | 63.6% | 55.2% |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 36.3% | 72.8% | 66.7% |

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.